Excerpts from:



## Accreditation Council for Business Schools and Programs Quality Assurance Report for Associate Degree Business Programs Submitted: Sept. 15, 2025

## **Institution Overview**

**Institution Name** Lehigh Carbon Community College

Address 4525 Education Park Drive, Schnecksville PA 18078

Year Accredited 1997

**Year Reaffirmed** 2017

Years Covered by this Report 2021-2022 through 2024-2025

Date Submitted Sept. 15, 2025

## Table 3.2 - Student- and Stakeholder Focus - Criterion 3.2 - 3.4

Student- and stakeholder-focused results examine how well your organization satisfies students and stakeholders key needs and expectations.

Performance measures may include: satisfaction and dissatisfaction of current and past students and key stakeholders, perceived value, loyalty, persistence, or other aspects of relationship building, end of course surveys, alumni surveys, Internship feedback, etc.

Measurement instrument or processes may include: end of course surveys, alumni surveys, Internship feedback, etc.

Each academic unit must demonstrate linkages to business practitioners and organizations which are current and significant, including an advisory board.

Periodic surveys should be made of graduates, transfer institutions, and/or employers of graduates to obtain data on the success of business programs in preparing students to compete successfully for entry-level positions.

Performance measurem instrumen is your goal? The goal should be measurable. What is you measurem instrumen instrumen process? (indicate left)	current Results:  What are your current results?	Analysis of Results: What did you learn from your results?	Action Taken or Improvement made: What did you improve or what is your next step?	Provide a graph or table of resulting trends (3-5 data points preferred)
higher of Career Dev graduates will be either employed or Office of In	exceeded for all three years for all programs. Note that the stitutional Graduates ed in the ing their	preparing graduates for employment or continued education.	109: Business as a Major (first-year experience) as a required course has increased student exposure to both LCCC resources and career opportunities.	All LCCC AA Degrees Placement Percent of Responding Graduates: Employed or Continuing Education  100% 90% 80% 70% 60% 50% 2021 (n=181) 2022 (n=133) 2023 (n=224)

Performance Measure: What is your goal? The goal should be measurable.	What is your measurement instrument or process? (indicate length of cycle)	Current Results: What are your current results?	Analysis of Results: What did you learn from your results?	Action Taken or Improvement made: What did you improve or what is your next step?	Provide a graph or table of resulting trends (3-5 data points preferred)
					All LCCC AAS Degrees Placement Percent of Responding Graduates: Employed or Continuing Education  100% 90% 90% 80% 70% 60% 2021 (n=243) 2022 (n=202) 2023 (n=191)
					Accounting AAS Placement Percent of Responding Graduates: Employed or Continuing Education  100%  90% 88% 80% 80% 70% 60% 2021 (n=4) 2022 (n=8) 2023 (n=7)
					Business Adminstration AA Placement Percent of Responding Graduates: Employed or Continuing Education  98% 90% 80% 70% 60% 2021 (n=55) 2022 (n=15) 2023 (n=36)

Performance Measure: What is your goal? The goal should be measurable.	What is your measurement instrument or process? (indicate length of cycle)	Current Results: What are your current results?	Analysis of Results: What did you learn from your results?	Action Taken or Improvement made: What did you improve or what is your next step?	Provide a graph or table of resulting trends (3-5 data points preferred)
					Business Management AAS Placement Percent of Responding Graduates: Employed or Continuing Education
					90% 90% 80%
					70%
					50% 2021 (n=20) 2022 (n=24) 2023 (n=16)
					Human Resource Management AAS Placement Percent of Responding Graduates: Employed or Continuing Education
					100% 100% 100% 90% 90% 90% 90% 90% 90% 90% 90% 90%

Performance Measure: What is your goal? The goal should be measurable.	What is your measurement instrument or process? (indicate length of cycle)	current results?	Analysis of Results: What did you learn from your results?	Action Taken or Improvement made: What did you improve or what is your next step?	Provide a graph or table of resulting trends (3-5 data points preferred)
transferred: Goal: Percent of graduates transferring 46-60+ credits in each program will meet or exceed the	administered by the Career Development Center and the Office of Institutional Research. Graduates are surveyed in the year following their graduation.	the goal for the three years indicated. This is the only ACBSP accredited program that is expressly	their credits. Strong	Academic advising, while not required, will continue to be encouraged especially for students who intend to transfer. Note that students who plan to earn a bachelor's degree in Accounting are encouraged to major in Business Administration at LCCC.	All LCCC AA Degrees Percent of Responding Graduates: Transferred 46-60+ Credits  83% 83% 82% 60% 40% 2002 (n=80) 2022 (n=62) 2023 (n=65)
					Business Adminstration AA Percent of Responding Graduates: Transferred 46-60+ Credits 100% 80% 80% 60% 40% 20021 (n=24) 2022 (n=8) 2023 (n=11)

Performance Measure: What is your goal? The goal should be measurable.	What is your measurement instrument or process? (indicate length of cycle)	Current Results: What are your current results?	Analysis of Results: What did you learn from your results?	Action Taken or Improvement made: What did you improve or what is your next step?	Provide a graph or table of resulting trends (3-5 data points preferred)
					All LCCC AAS Degrees Percent of Responding Graduates:  Transferred 46-60+ Credits  78%  60%  59%  40%  2021 (n=21)  2022 (n=15)  2023 (n=27)
					Accounting AAS Percent of Responding Graduates: Transferred 46-60+ Credits  100% 80% 60% 40% 20% 2021 (n=0) 2022 (n=0) 2023 (n=2)
					Business Management AAS Percent of Responding Graduates: Transferred 46-60+ Credits  100%  80% 75% 60% 40% 2021 (n=2) 2022 (n=3) 2023 (n=1)

Performance Measure: What is your goal? The goal should be measurable.	What is your measurement instrument or process? (indicate length of cycle)	Current Results: What are your current results?	Analysis of Results: What did you learn from your results?	Action Taken or Improvement made: What did you improve or what is your next step?	Provide a graph or table of resulting trends (3-5 data points preferred)
					Human Resource Management AAS Percent of Responding Graduates: Transferred 46-60+ Credits  100% 100% 80% 60% 40% 20% 2021 (n=0) 2022 (n=2) 2023 (n=1)

responding "Very Prepared for Employment" will be equal to or	Report (annual) - administered by the Career Development Center and the Office of Institutional Research. Graduates are surveyed in the year following their graduation.	the goal is met or exceeded in three of the four years. For the other two programs the goal is met in only one or two of the years. Very small response rates for this question,	educational modality that is not preferred. The low results for the Business Admin. may be due to the fact that students may not yet be employed in the job they aspire to because they are completing a	Action Taken or Improvement made: What did you improve or what is your next step?  The BUS 109 Business as a Major (first-year experience) course should continue to ensure that students are in the correct major for their career goals and make them more informed about career options. Business Unit faculty will continue to be encouraged to refer students to the Career Development Center for guidance and resources.	Provide a graph or table of resulting trends (3-5 data points preferred)  All LCCC AAS Degrees Percent of Responding Graduates: Very Prepared for Employment  48% 49% 50% 40% 30% 2021 (n=86) 2022 (n=63) 2023(n=47) 2024 (n=89)
					Accounting AAS Percent of Responding Graduates: Very Prepared for Employment  100%  80%  60%  40%  2021 (n=2) 2022 (n=2) 2023 (n=4) 2024 (n=3)

Performance Measure: What is your goal? The goal should be measurable.	What is your measurement instrument or process? (indicate length of cycle)	Current Results: What are your current results?	Analysis of Results: What did you learn from your results?	Action Taken or Improvement made: What did you improve or what is your next step?	Provide a graph or table of resulting trends (3-5 data points preferred)
					Business Adminstration AA Percent of Responding Graduates: Very Prepared for Employment  70% 60% 53% 41% 40% 30% 209% 33% 2004 (n=10) 2022 (n=2) 2023 (n=7) 2024 (n=5)
					Business Management AAS Percent of Responding Graduates: Very Prepared for Employment  80%  80%  60%  50%  44%  2021 (n=6) 2022 (n=7) 2023 (n=4) 2024 (n=6)
					Human Resource Management AAS Percent of Responding Graduates: Very Prepared for Employment  70% 60% 50% 40% 33% 30% 2021 (n=2) 2022 (n=3) 2023 (n=1) 2024 (n=1)

Table 4.1 - Standard 4 Student Learning Assessment							
	•		Jse this table to supply data fo				
Performance Indicator	•			entration, specialization, etc. accre	edited or to be accredited. You must have direct, summative,		
	·	rative results for each pro	•				
1. Student Learning Results	faculty-designed examination Direct - Assessing student p Indirect - Assessing indicate Formative - An assessment Summative - An assessment internal - An assessment in External - An assessment in Comparative - Compare re	It learning outcome is one that measures a specific competency attainment. Examples of a direct assessment (evidence) of student learning attainment that might be used include: capstone performance, third-party examination, esigned examination, professional performance, licensure examination). Add these to the description of the measurement instrument in column two:  Assessing student performance by examining samples of student work  - Assessing indicators other than student work such as getting feedback from the student or other persons who may provide relevant information.  - An assessment conducted during the student's education.  - An assessment conducted at the end of the student's education.  - An assessment instrument that was developed within the business unit.  - An assessment instrument that was developed outside the business unit.  - An assessment instrument that was developed outside the business unit.  - Compare results to external students using data from i.e. the U.S. Department of Education Research and Statistics, or results from a vendor providing comparable data. Internal comparative data may be between classes, ind on ground classes, professors, programs, campuses, etc.					
			Analysis of Results				
Identified in Criterion 4.2	Identified in Criterion 4.1	Identified	in Criterion 4.3	Identified in Criterion 4.4	Identified in Criterion 4.3		
Approach	Deployment (Do not use course grades or GPA)	Results	Analysis of Results	Improvement Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or Tables of Trends (3-5 data points) Report sample or population size n = #		
Program Learning objectives <u>SLO1</u> , SLO2, etc. <u>Measurable Goals</u> 80%, 5.5 or above, etc.	What is your measurement instrument or process? (Type of instrument: direct, formative, internal, comparative.)	what are your current results?	What did you learn from the results?	What did you improve or what is your next step?			
Student Learning Objectives by Program:							
Program: Accounting (ACC) SLO #3: Demonstrate the accounting and reporting of equity in a business. Goal: 70% will score 70% or higher on the assessment.	ACC 202 Intermediate Accounting II: Average of Quizzes on Ch. 18 & 19. Direct, formative, internal.	of the four years.	This is a course that is only offered online. While low results in the first two years is disappointing, faculty are concerned about the drastic improvement in student performance in the last two years and suspect the increased use of AI is the cause. Detailed analysis of quiz/test results show successful completion in unrealistic elapsed time periods.	Faculty will discuss options to address the use of AI during online testing. Faculty will be encouraged to take advantage of training and resources offered by the College and the Department of Online Learning regarding AI and academic integrity.	ACC 202 Ch. 18 & 19 Quizzes - Percent Scoring 70% or higher  100%  90%  80%  79%  60%  54%  50%  46%  40%  Spring 2022 (n=13) Spring 2023 (n=13) Spring 2024 (n=15) Spring 2025 (n=15)		

	1		Analysis of Results		
Identified in Criterion 4.2	Identified in Criterion 4.1	Identified	l in Criterion 4.3	Identified in Criterion 4.4	Identified in Criterion 4.3
Approach	Deployment (Do not use course grades or GPA)	Results	Analysis of Results	Improvement Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or Tables of Trends (3-5 data points) Report sample or population size n = #
Program Learning objectives S <u>LO1,</u> SLO2, etc. <u>Measurable Goals</u> 90%, 5.5 or above, etc.	What is your measurement instrument or process? (Type of instrument: direct, formative, internal, comparative.)	What are your current results?	What did you learn from the results?	What did you improve or what is your next step?	
Program: Accounting (ACC) SLO #7: Demonstrate and integrate computer teracy within an accounting framework. Soal: 70% will score 70% or higher on the assessment.  Program: Accounting (ACC) SLO #9: Apply legal principles, particularly rules of contracts, to all business activities. Soal: 70% will score 70% or higher on the assessment.	ACC 262 Accounting Information Systems: QuickBooks Project. Direct, summative, internal.  BUS 241 Business Law I: Exam 4. Direct, formative, internal.	of the five years.	ACC students perform well on the QuickBooks Project.  ACC students perform well on this assessment but note the small sample size (less than or equal to 5) in three of the years.	A switch in 2024 to a new AIS textbook with less focus on IT and greater emphasis on accounting should continue to yield good results.  Faculty will consider raising the goal for success.	ACC 262 QuickBooks Project- Percent Scoring 70% or higher  100% 90% 88% 80% 75% 77% 60% 60% 50% Fall 2021 Spring 2022 Fall 2022 Spring 2023 Spring 2024 (n=10) 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 1
Program: Business Administration (BUAA) SLO #4: Recognize leadership and management skills, and standard management procedures. Goal: 80% will score 70% or higher on the assessment.	BUS 211 Principles of Management: Unit 1 Exam. Direct, formative, internal.	Goal was exceeded in the last three years, almost met the goal in year two.	BUAA students perform well on this assessment.	Goal was increased from "70%" to "80%" will score 70% or higher from our last QA report for this assessment instrument. Students were able to meet this increased target in most years.	BUS 211 Unit 1 Exam - Percent Scoring 70% or higher  100% 97% 88% 82% 74% 76% 82% 82% 82% 76% 60% Fall 2021 Spring 2022 Fall 2022 Spring 2023 Spring 2024 (n=36) (n=36) (n=36) (n=36)

			Analysis of Results		
Identified in Criterion 4.2	Identified in Criterion 4.1	Identified	l in Criterion 4.3	Identified in Criterion 4.4	Identified in Criterion 4.3
Approach	Deployment (Do not use course grades or GPA)	Results	Analysis of Results	Improvement Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or Tables of Trends (3-5 data points) Report sample or population size n = #
Program Learning objectives <u>SL01</u> , SL02, etc. <u>Measurable Goals</u> 80%, 5.5 or above, etc.	What is your measurement instrument or process? (Type of instrument: direct, formative, internal, comparative.)	What are your current results?	What did you learn from the results?	What did you improve or what is your next step?	
Program: Business Administration (BUAA) SLO #5: Apply legal principles, particularly rules of contracts, to all business activities. Goal: 70% will score 70% or higher on the assessment.	BUS 241 Business Law I: Exam 4. Direct, formative, internal.	Goal was exceeded in all five years.	BUAA students perform well on this assessment.	Faculty will consider raising the goal for success.	BUS 241 Exam 4 - Percent Scoring 70% or higher  100%
Program: Business Administration (BUAA) SLO #6: Demonstrate how supply and demand drive a market economic system. Goal: 70% will score 70% or higher on the assessment.	ECO 201 Principles of Macroeconomics: Ch. 3 Quiz. Direct, formative, internal.	Goal was exceeded in all four years, but margin was close in several.	Students always need help to understand the foundational principles of supply and demand.	Starting in fall 2025, faculty will be required to use a publisher provided supplemental computerized package with a goal to create increased opportunity for students to practice and apply what they learn to be measured with standardized assignments. This will assist with future assessment. Publisher will also provide an comparative data.	80% 80% 74% 74% 74% 74% 71% 60% Fall 2021 (n=31) Spring 2022 (n=42) Fall 2022 (n=54) Spring 2024 (n=34)
Program: Business Management (BMG) SLO #3: Recognize supervisory skills and standard management procedures. Goal: 80% will score 70% or higher on the assessment.	BUS 211 Principles of Management: Unit 1 Exam. Direct, formative, internal.	Goal was exceeded in three of the five years.	BMG students perform reasonably well on this assessment.	Goal was increased from "70%" to "80%" will score 70% or higher from our last QA report for this assessment instrument. Faculty will consider a 75% target for BMG majors.	BUS 211 Unit 1 Exam- Percent Scoring 70% or higher  100%  90%  88%  92%  80%  73%  70%  60%  60%  Fall 2021 Spring 2022 Fall 2022 Spring 2023 Spring 2024 (n=16) (n=10) (n=33) (n=13) (n=12)

	I		Analysis of Results	1	
Identified in Criterion 4.2	Identified in Criterion 4.1	Identified	I in Criterion 4.3	Identified in Criterion 4.4	Identified in Criterion 4.3
Approach	Deployment (Do not use course grades or GPA)		Analysis of Results	Improvement Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or Tables of Trends (3-5 data points) Report sample or population size n = #
Program Learning objectives <u>SLO1</u> , SLO2, etc. <u>Measurable Goals</u> 80%, 5.5 or above, etc.	What is your measurement instrument or process? (Type of instrument: direct, formative, internal, comparative.)	What are your current results?	What did you learn from the results?	What did you improve or what is your next step?	
Program: Business Management (BMG) SLO #5: Apply legal principles, particularly rules of contracts, to all business activities. Goal: 70% will score 70% or higher on the assessment.	I: Exam 4. Direct, formative, internal.		BMG students perform well on this assessment.	Faculty will consider raising the goal for success.	BUS 241 Exam 4: Percent Scoring 70% or higher  100%  92% 93% 92%  86%  80%  70%  Fall 2021 Spring 2022 Fall 2022 Spring 2023 Spring 2024 (n=12)  (n=22) (n=18) (n=13) (n=15) (n=12)
Program: Business Management (BMG) SLO #8: Demonstrate how supply and demand drive a market economic system. Goal: 70% will score 70% or higher on the assessment.	ECO 201 Principles of Macroeconomics: Ch. 3 Quiz. Direct, formative, internal.	Goal was exceeded in all four years, but margin was close in the last year.	Students always need help to understand the foundational principles of supply and demand.	Starting in fall 2025, faculty will be required to use a publisher provided supplemental computerized package with a goal to create increased opportunity for students to practice and apply what they learn to be measured with standardized assignments. This will assist with future assessment. Publisher will also provide an comparative data.	
Program: Human Resource Management (HMN) SLO #1: Describe the business enterprise concept, including ethical considerations. Goal: 70% will score 70% or higher on the assessment.	BUS 120 Introduction to Business: Ch. 4 Quiz. Direct, formative, external (McGraw Hill Connect).	Goal was reached in only two of the four years.	Note that the small number of HMN majors taking BUS 120 in any given semesters makes for a very small sample size.	Faculty will continue to encourage students to complete reading and homework assignments and prior to taking the Quiz. Data could be gathered to correlate the timing of reading assignments with student performance on assignments. Faculty will also consider gathering data more consistently in fall and spring of each year so that data for HMN majors can be pooled and analyzed by academic year, rather than by semester, in order to get larger sample sizes.	BUS 120 Ch 4 Quiz - Percent Scoring 70% or higher  90% 80% 75% 70% 60% 50% 45% 45% 40% 30% 50% Fall 2021 (n=11) Fall 2022 (n=4) Spring 2023 (n=2) Spring 2024 (n=7)

			Analysis of Results		
Identified in Criterion 4.2	Identified in Criterion 4.1	Identified	I in Criterion 4.3	Identified in Criterion 4.4	Identified in Criterion 4.3
Approach	Deployment (Do not use course grades or GPA)	Results	Analysis of Results	Improvement Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or Tables of Trends (3-5 data points) Report sample or population size n = #
Program Learning objectives <u>SLO1</u> , SLO2, etc. <u>Measurable Goals</u> 80%, 5.5 or above, etc.	What is your measurement instrument or process? (Type of instrument: direct, formative, internal, comparative.)	What are your current results?	What did you learn from the results?	What did you improve or what is your next step?	
Program: Human Resource Management (HMN) SLO #6: Describe supervisory skills and standards for human resource management procedures. Goal: 80% will score 70% or higher on the assessment.	BUS 211 Principles of Management: Unit 1 Exam. Direct, formative, internal.	Goal was exceeded in three of the four years where student data were available.	HMN students performed well on this assessment but note that the sample size is very small in almost every semester.	Goal was increased from "70%" to "80%" will score 70% or higher from our last QA report for this assessment instrument. Faculty will also consider gathering data more consistently in fall and spring of each year so that data can be pooled and analyzed by academic year, rather than by semester, in order to get larger sample sizes.	BUS 211 Unit 1 Exam - Percent Scoring 70% or higher  100%  83%  80%  75%  70%  Fall 2021 Spring 2022 Fall 2022 Spring 2023 Spring 2024 (n=4) (n=6) (n=0) (n=5)
Program: Human Resource Management (HMN) SLO #7: Analyze issues involved in organizational recruitment, training, and development. Goal: 70% will score 70% or higher on the assessment.	BUS 262 Recruiting, Training, and Evaluating Employees: Average of Exams 1 & 2. Direct, summative, internal.	Goal was exceeded for each of the three years.	HMN students performed well on this assessment. BUS 262 is an upper-level required course offered only once per year so sample size is less of a concern.	Faculty will consider raising the goal for success.	BUS 262 Average on Exams 1 & 2- Percent Scoring 70% or higher  100%  90%  88%  85%  86%  70%  Spring 2022 (n=25)  Spring 2023 (n=13)  Spring 2024 (n=15)

			Analysis of Results	1	
Identified in Criterion 4.2	Identified in Criterion 4.1	Identified	l in Criterion 4.3	Identified in Criterion 4.4	Identified in Criterion 4.3
Approach	Deployment (Do not use course grades or GPA)	Results	Analysis of Results	Improvement Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or Tables of Trends (3-5 data points) Report sample or population size n = #
Program Learning objectives SLO1, SLO2, etc. <u>Measurable Goals</u> 80%, 5.5 or above, etc.	What is your measurement instrument or process? (Type of instrument: direct, formative, internal, comparative.)	What are your current results?	What did you learn from the results?	What did you improve or what is your next step?	
Comparative Analysis:					
Comparative Analysis by Modality: Modalities were categorized as face-to-face or remote (synchronous via Zoom) vs. asynchronous online. Data pooled for all ACBSP program majors. Goal: Difference in the percent scoring 70% or higher will not exceed 10% between the different delivery modalities.	BUS 211 Principles of Management: Unit 1 Exam. Direct, formative, internal.	Goal met in two of the five years. Goal is missed in two years only by 1%.	Other than in year two, the results from the online sections were not egregiously lower than the face-to-face or remote sections.	All of the online sections of BUS 211 were delivered in Quality Matters certified courses. This should continue to contribute to the success of online students. Faculty will be encouraged to promote the use of tutoring which is available through a remote synchronous program as well as an asynchronous online service.	BUS 211 Unit 1 Exam - Comparison by Modality: Percent scoring 70% or higher  100% 91% 90% 90% 91% 90%  81% 79% 79%
Comparative Analysis by Modality: Modalities were categorized as face-to- face or remote (synchronous via Zoom) vs. asynchronous online. Data pooled for all ACBSP program majors. Goal: Difference in the percent scoring 70% or higher will not exceed 10% between the different delivery modalities.	BUS 241 Business Law I: Exam 4. Direct, formative, internal.	Goal exceeded in all but the last year.	Student performance on this assessment tool is comparable between the modalities.	Many of the online sections of BUS 241 are delivered in Quality Matters certified courses. This should continue to contribute to the success of online students. Faculty will be encouraged to participate in Quality Matters training.	BUS 241 Exam 4 - Comparison by Modality: Percent scoring 70% or higher  100% 96% 97% 100%100% 100%100% 100%  97% 88% 88% 88% 100%100% 100%100% 100%  97% 100%100% 100%100% 100%  97% 100%100% 100%100% 100%  97% 100%100% 100%100% 100%  97% 100%100% 100%100% 100%  97% 100%100% 100%100% 100%  97% 100%100% 100%100% 100%  97% 100%100% 100%100% 100%  97% 100%100% 100%100% 100%100% 100%  97% 100%100% 100%100% 100%100% 100%100% 100%  97% 100%100% 100%100% 100%100% 100%100% 100%100%
Comparative Analysis by Modality: Modalities were categorized as face-to- face or remote (synchronous via Zoom) vs. asynchronous online. Data pooled for all ACBSP program majors. Goal: Difference in the percent scoring 70% or higher will not exceed 10% between the different delivery modalities.	ECO 201 Principles of Macroeconomics: Ch. 3 Quiz. Direct, formative, internal.	Goal is met in only one of the three years.	Students in the online sections outperformed the face-to-face/remote sections in each year by fairly significant margins. The question about whether or not students are using AI during online testing is a concern.	Starting in fall 2025, faculty will be required to use a publisher provided supplemental computerized package with a goal to create increased opportunity for students to practice and apply what they learn to be measured with standardized assignments. Once the tool is standardized, data can be analyzed again to see if the same pattern repeats. In addition, faculty will be encouraged to take advantage of training and resources offered by the College and the Department of Online Learning regarding AI and academic integrity.	

	1		Analysis of Results		
Identified in Criterion 4.2	Identified in Criterion 4.1	Identified	I in Criterion 4.3	Identified in Criterion 4.4	Identified in Criterion 4.3
Approach	Deployment (Do not use course grades or GPA)	Results	Analysis of Results	Improvement Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or Tables of Trends (3-5 data points) Report sample or population size n = #
Program Learning objectives SL01, SL02, etc. Measurable Goals 80%, 5.5 or above, etc.	What is your measurement instrument or process? (Type of instrument: direct, formative, internal, comparative.)	What are your current results?	What did you learn from the results?	What did you improve or what is your next step?	
Comparative Analysis by Program: HMN majors were excluded from this analysis due to extremely small sample sizes. Goal: Difference in the percent scoring 70% or higher will not exceed 15% between the different programs.	Management: Ünit 1 Exam. Direct, formative, internal.	in year three. In year three, performance differences exceed 15% for all three program	The results involving ACC majors may be hampered by relatively small sample sizes. One might expect performance for BUAA AA (transfer) majors to exceed the ACC and BMG AAS (career) majors, but the data do not illustrate this. Difference in student performance between programs do not show an identifiable pattern.	This is the first time a comparative analysis between program majors has been performed. One factor that is hard to track is when students take BUS 211. While not all students follow the recommended catalog sequence, it is noteworthy that BUS 211 is recommended in different semesters for all three of the programs examined. We will continue to monitor.	BUS 211 Unit 1 Exam Comparison by Program: Percent Scoring 70% or higher  100% 90% 80% 70% 60% Fall 2021 Fall 2022 Spring 2022 Spring 2023 Spring 2024 (ACC n=8) (ACC n=8) (ACC n=6) (ACC n=5) (BUAA n=70) (BUAA n=69) (BUAA n=36) (BUAA n=38) (BUAA n=35) (BMG n=16) (BMG n=33) (BMG n=13) (BMG n=12)
Comparative Analysis by Program: HMN majors were excluded from this analysis due to extremely small sample sizes. Goal: Difference in the percent scoring 70% or higher will not exceed 15% between the different programs.	BUS 241 Business Law I: Exam 4. Direct, formative, internal.	Goal is met for all paired program comparisons in all five years.	Student performance is remarkably consistent across programs for this assessment tool.	This is the first time a comparative analysis between program majors has been performed. One factor that is hard to track is when students take BUS 241. While not all students follow the recommended catalog sequence, it is noteworthy that BUS 241 is recommended in the third and fourth semesters for all three of the programs examined, therefore more overall college-level experience may contribute to better performance. We will continue to monitor.	Percent Scoring 70% or higher
Comparative Analysis by Program: ACC majors were excluded from this analysis because ECO 201 is not a program requirement. Goal: Difference in the percent scoring 70% or higher will not exceed 15% between the different programs.	ECO 201 Principles of Macroeconomics: Ch. 3 Quiz. Direct, formative, internal.	Goal is met for all paired program comparisons except one in year three: BUAA vs. HMN.	Student performance is fairly consistent across programs for this assessment tool. Note the small sample sizes for the HMN program data.	This is the first time a comparative analysis between program majors has been performed. One factor that is hard to track is when students take ECO 201. While not all students follow the recommended catalog sequence, it is noteworthy that ECO is recommended in the third semester for all three of the programs examined. Pooling data by academic year instead of semester will be considered to increase HMN sample sizes. We will continue to monitor.	100%

	<b>Table 7.1 - Te</b>	mplate Stud	dent Achievement
	Use this tal	ble to supply da	ita for Standard 7
	You must provide	results for each	program, concentration, specialization, etc. accredited or to be
Student Achievement	Must report on goa	al of graduates	by program and results.
	e.g. Graduation by	program and y	ear Goal Results
	Report on at least		
	•	•	ss rates, Job placement rates, Employment advancement,
	Acceptance into g	raduate progran	ns, Successful transfer of credit, Other
Graduates by Program: Goal to			
maintain or increase			
_		Results	Graduates by Program FY 2023
Program:	Goal 2023	2023	60 —————
Accounting AAS Business Administration AA	12	11	49
	49	54	50 42
Business Management AAS	42	35	40 35
Human Resource Management AAS	21	19	
			30
			20 ■ FY23 Results
			10
			0 ACC BUAA BMG HMN
		Results	
	Goal 2024	2024	Graduates by Program FY 2024
Accounting AAS	11	11	1
Business Administration AA	54	73	80
Business Management AAS	35	37	70 60 — 54
Human Resource Management AAS	19	9	50 —
			40 — 35 37 ■ FY24 Goal
			30 19 ■ FY24 Results
			20 11 11 9
			0
			ACC BUAA BMG HMN

Retention (fall to spring) by Program: Goal to be equal or	G - 1 2022	B II .		Re	tention	by Prog	ram 202	3
higher than all LCCC rate (3 yr.	Goal 2023 = or	Results	80%	77%				
average)	higher than %	2023	75%					
Accounting AAS	62%	77%	, 5,0				70%	
Business Administration AA	62%	67%	70%		67%		70%	
Business Management AAS	62%	65%			0776	65%		■ Goal 2023 = or
Human Resource Management AAS	62%	70%	65%	62%	62%	62%	62%	higher than %
			60%					Results 2023
			55% 50%					
			30%	ACC	BUAA	BMG	HMN	
	Goal 2024 = or	Results		Re	tention	by Prog	ram 202	4
	higher than %	2024	80%			78%		
Accounting AAS	62%	76%	75%	76%				
Business Administration AA	62%	68%	73%					
Business Management AAS	62%	78%	70%		68%			
Human Resource Management AAS	62%	66%					66%	■ Goal 2024 = or
			65%	62%	62%	62%	62%	higher than %
			60%					■ Results 2024
			55%					
			50%	ACC	BUAA	BMG	HMN	

## Table 7.1.b - Operations and Support Operations and What do you have to report to the administration? Those are mostly business operation processes. Support This list is comprised of examples to help you think about your own business operation processes. Some operational actives include hiring faculty, setting academic policies, overseeing the budget, fundraising, and other administrative duties. Other operational processes include: classroom teaching assignments, student advising and counseling activities, scholarly and professional activities, community and college service activities, administrative activities, business and industry interaction, special research programs and projects, thesis and dissertation supervision and direction, if applicable, non-traditional teaching. This list is only some examples to help you think about your own support services. Support services can include computer labs, structured assistance or supplemental instruction in math, reading, and English. Support can be emotional, physical, financial, academic, or spiritual. It could be computer-assisted instructional laboratories, study groups, tutoring, writing assistance, disability resources and technology help. Analysis of Results Analysis of Results Action Taken or Improvement made Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends Performance What is your Current Results Measure measurement (3-5 data points preferred) instrument or process? (Indicate length of What did you learn from What did you improve or what is your next Measurable goal What are your current cycle) results? the results? What is your goal? **Enrollment Goal:** Data provided by the Goal is met for only Business We continue to look for ways to recruit Maintain or increase Office of Institutional one program. **Enrollment by Program** Administration and more students, including new programs Research (Fact enrollment (head Enrollment has Business Management and new ways to repackage existing Book). Annual (fall continue to be among programs. Our academic program count) in each fluctuated, with fall 350 program. to fall comparisons). 2024 numbers ending the most popular webpages now contain a series of Quick lower than fall 2023 in programs at the Start Programs, one of which is 300 all programs except college, however Business. The idea is to encourage new 250 one. Accounting enrollment challenges students to try a few courses to increase their immediate marketability in the job → ACC enrollment increased are being felt 200 23%. Business collegewide. market and to provide a pathway to one -BUAA Administration Competition from of our degree programs. Also, the 150 → BMG college recently engaged an enrollment enrollment experienced employers and fourthe largest percentage year institutions has consultant who delivered some ---HMN 100 decline 22%. increased making it recommendations for more specific more difficult to attract targeted marketing for recruitment. The 50 students. state is working on a plan to reshape higher education in PA with an increased F20 F21 F22 F23 focus on workforce needs and F24 streamlining the transfer process that has potential to positively impact future enrollment. Program Enrollment - Head Count F20 F21 F22 F23 F24 ACC 70 91 85 62 76 250 320 330 319 **BUAA** 314 204 171 171 181 168 **BMG** 47 **HMN** 86 69 58 44 674 651 644 609 538 total

			Analysis of R	esults							
Measure  Measurable goal	What is your measurement instrument or process? (Indicate length of cycle)		Analysis of Results  What did you learn from the results?	Action Taken or Improvement made  What did you improve or what is your next step?		Inse	t Graphs or Tabl (3-5 data poi	es of Resulting 1 nts preferred)	rends		
Maintain or increase the number of graduates from	Book). Annual (fiscal year totals).	for two of the four programs. Accounting has maintained a steady number of graduates. Human Resource Management	The total number of graduates from all four programs has remained remarkably steady with Business Administration experiencing the largest increases.	None of our programs require students to see an academic advisor, however all students are assigned an advisor. Faculty will continue to encourage students to consult with advisors regularly to make sure they are on track to graduate and to use Degree Works, a computerized academic planner. Since COVID, the college has continued to offer advising appointments remotely (via Zoom) for student convenience. The large number of strong articulation agreements, many of which are more favorable for students who graduate with their associate degree, should continue to help graduation numbers.	80 70 60 00 40 20 20 10		ey21 FY2		gram  FY24	- - - —————————————————————————————————	
							Graduates	by Progran	n		П
						FY20	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	-
					ACC	1	2 11	12	11		_
					BUAA	4	+				-
					BMG HMN	6			35 19		9
					total	13	+	<u> </u>			-

			Analysis of R	esults						
Performance Measure Measurable goal What is your goal?	What is your measurement instrument or process? (Indicate length of cycle)	Current Results  What are your current results?	Analysis of Results  What did you learn from the results?	Action Taken or Improvement made  What did you improve or what is your next step?		Insert	Graphs or Table (3-5 data poi	es of Resulting T nts preferred)	rends	
Graduates divided by Enrollment: Goal: Maintain or increase the percent of graduates from each program relative to enrollment.	data provided by the Office of Institutional Research (Fact	Graduation rates by program as a percent of concurrent enrollment have fluctuated over the five years.	The Business Administration and Business Management programs show an increase in graduation rates in the last year, even with declining enrollment numbers. The Human Resource Management program has seen steady graduation rate increases followed by a sharp decrease in the last year. Accounting graduation rates have been relatively stable.	This is the first time we have analyzed graduation rates. In an era with enrollment challenges it seemed reasonable to examine graduation trends relative to enrollment. Using concurrent enrollment numbers for this calculation may not be the best approach. We will continue to monitor and adjust as needed.	45% 40% 35% 30% 25% 20% 15% 10%	FY20	livided by		FY24	→ ACC → BUAA → BMG → HMN
					G	raduates d	ivided by	Concurren	t Enrollme	nt
						FY20	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24
					ACC BUAA	17% 14%		14% 15%	18% 17%	<del>                                     </del>
					BMG	29%		25%	19%	
					HMN total	17% 19%		36% 19%	40% 20%	
					iotai	19%	2170	1370	2070	2470

			Analysis of R		
Performance Measure Measurable goal What is your goal?	What is your measurement instrument or process? (Indicate length of cycle)	Current Results  What are your current results?		Action Taken or Improvement made  What did you improve or what is your next step?	Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends (3-5 data points preferred)
Retention Goal: Term retention rates (fall to spring) by program will be at or above the LCCC three-year average for all programs of 62%.	Office of Institutional Research by special request. Annual (fall	exceeded for all five	wish to transfer to earn a bachelor's degree in Accounting are advised to major in Business Administration for ease of transfer, so getting	Some factors that have contributed to and will continue to enhance strong retention rates are: a computerized early alert system linked to students' advisors and success coaches, the integration of the BUS 109 Business as a Major course, an option for synchronous remote tutoring (started during COVID), Incomplete Recovery Sessions offered after every semester to help students make up incomplete coursework in a timely manner (started during COVID), a Student Success Course available in our LMS, and a link to our online tutoring service now embedded directly into every LMS course shell.	Accounting: Retained in Major Next Spring  80% 76% 75% 70% 65% 60% 55% Fall 2020 Fall 2021 Fall 2022 Fall 2023 Fall 2024 (n=68) (n=89) Fall 2022 (n=61) Fall 2024
					Business Administration: Retained in Major Next Spring  75%  70% 68% 68% 67% 67% 68% 60% 55% Fall 2020 (n=299) Fall 2021 (n=311) Fall 2022 Fall 2023 Fall 2024 (n=304) Fall 2024 (n=299)

Performance Measure Measurable goal	What is your measurement instrument or process? (Indicate length of	Current Results  What are your current	Analysis of Results	Action Taken or Improvement made  What did you improve or what is your next	Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends (3-5 data points preferred)
incasurable goal	cycle)	results?	the results?	step?	
What is your goal?					
					Duringer Management
					Business Management:
					Retained in Major Next Spring
					80%
					75%
					70%
					65%
					65% 63%
					60%
					55%
					50%
					Fall 2020 Fall 2021 Fall 2022 Fall 2023 Fall 2024
					(n=199) (n=163) (n=166) (n=174) (n=163)
					Human Resource Management:
					Retained in Major Next Spring
					80%
					75% 73%
					70%
					70% 66%
					65%
					60%
					55%
					50%
					Fall 2020 Fall 2021 Fall 2022 Fall 2023 Fall 2024
					(n=84) (n=66) (n=53) (n=43) (n=41)